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# Introduction:

My name is Jason Willis. This executive summary will concentrate on the following study: Healthcare Providers and Their Impact on Overall Hospital Ratings provided in a previous submission. I am a graduate student, completing a capstone project for Western Governors University’s Masters in Data Analytics program.

**Problem Statement and Hypothesis:** The Patient Survey – Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems is a dataset provided by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. This survey poses questions asked of patients and their ratings over a few different clinical perspectives. What can a hospital learn from this survey? Can they affect the outcome, and if so, what services could they focus on? According to Schmocker (2015) “Readiness for discharge appears to be a clinically useful patient-reported metric, as those RFD have higher satisfaction with the hospital and physicians.” Is this the only or best metric to use or can a hospital focus on provider care and strengthen their overall service ratings?

Hypothesis: Is communication from a doctor more statistically significant to a patient’s overall hospital rating than a nurse?

* **Null hypothesis (H0) –** Doctor communication does not have a more statistically significant impact on the overall hospital rating when compared to a nurse.
* **Alternate Hypothesis (H1)** – Doctor communication has a more statistically significant impact on the overall hospital rating when compared to a nurse.

**Data Analysis Process:**

The Patient Survey – Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (HCAHPS) dataset (2022) was selected to provide questions posed to patients about their care. The questions focused on were: “Nurse Communication”, “Doctor Communication” and “Overall Hospital Rating”. A 5-star rating system was utilized.

* Data analysis was performed on the refined data frame. Questions and ratings were grouped and aggregated. Mean ratings for 3,320 grouped responses were very close over all hospital systems. (Figure 1)
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Figure - Grouped Mean Rating Scores

* Boxplots were created to display the minimum, first quartile, median, third quartile, and maximum values of each grouped question; visually expressing a slight range and third quartile between doctor and nurse ratings. (Figure 2)
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Figure - Boxplot of Grouped Results

* One-way ANOVA was calculated. (Figure 3)Table
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Figure - Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

**Outline of Findings:** ANOVA uses an F-statistic which measures mean equality of a group and a p-value to measure probability under the assumed hypotheses. The F-statistic of the data was 0.6141 and the p-value was 0.541; thus, we fail to reject the null hypotheses. Both independent variables seem to be important to a hospitals overall rating.

**Limitations:** One disadvantage of choosing ANOVA to analyze Likert scale data seemed to be within the limitation of the survey interpretations themselves. The questions to be rated are still able to be interpreted by the individual which may differ when compared to the research objectives. Additionally, limitations within the current survey point’s to how providers communicate, but this really isn’t the whole story. Trying to understand why a patient provided a certain rating will help illuminate where focus is needed.

**Proposed Actions:** Since a patients experience with their doctor and nurse are both important to the hospital’s overall rating, continued training and improved provider/patient relations should be strived for. Additionally, more specific questions could be added to the patient survey to dig deeper into understanding what key behaviors could be championed to improve.

**Expected Benefits:** Failing to reject the null hypotheses provide an understanding to provider staff that both doctor and nurse communication are important to a hospitals overall rating. This understanding should help dispel a hierarchy of proposed importance between provider staff. Additionally, by focusing on improving provider rating scores, hospitals are empowered to improve their overall patient services and hospital rating score.